

Meeting:	Safer Communities Executive Board
Date:	23 June 2008
Report Title:	Safer Haringey Evaluation Framework: Year End Report 2007/8 and Finance Summary
Report of:	Eliza Grainger, Community Safety Policy Officer.

1. Purpose

1.1. To provide an update about the implementation of the evaluation framework 2007/8; capturing key successes, lessons learned and the approach for 2008/9.

2. The framework

- 2.1. The framework covered the projects funded via the Borough Command Unit Fund (BCUF), the Safer and Stronger Communities Fund (SSCF) and the Neighbourhood Renewal Fund (NRF).
- 2.2. The allocation of funding was based upon local needs and the capacity of partner organisations to deliver relevant outcomes.
- 2.3. To secure funding each project lead submitted a plan identifying inputs, outputs and expected outcomes.
- 2.4. To ensure close monitoring and support, members of the Community Safety Team were assigned to eight of the funded projects.
- 2.5. Project leads (with support from the relevant Community Safety Team member) provided three quarterly monitoring reports and one final report. The reports required the demonstration of achievements, lessons for the future, sustainability and exit strategies.
- 2.6. Projects were managed by exception at the end of the second quarter only one project (the Leadership Centre) failed to meet the milestones to which they had committed in the project plans. An exception report was submitted to the Safer Communities Executive Board (SCEB) and a decision to redirect some of the funding allocated to this project was made.

3. Key achievements

- 3.1. The redirection of funding from the Leadership Centre allowed for three other projects to be funded which provided similar outcomes. For example, the LIFE (Local Intervention Fire Education) project involved training 'at risk' young people to run a fire station from which they developed an understanding of the rewards of effort, team work and communication. In recognition of the positive impact of this project resources have been provisionally allocated for 2008/9.
- 3.2. The London Boxing Academy (LBA) was also funded through the redirection of the Leadership Centre allocation. The LBA provides alternative education for young people who would otherwise be excluded from school. Some of the key outcomes to date include reduced police intervention with students who were previously known to the police and an overall attendance rate of 85%.
- 3.3. The recommendations of the CCTV scrutiny review have been implemented and a statement of joint policy use (Community Safety and Parking Enforcement) has been agreed. The management of CCTV will be jointly funded during 2008/9.
- 3.4. The Say Yes Challenge successfully engaged over 50 young people in the development of practical solutions to local crime and anti-social behaviour issues. There was national media coverage and a second challenge is planned for 2008/9.
- 3.5. Met Care and Repair has broadened its scope in 2007/8 and made their service available to Police referrals aged 55+ years. During 2008/9 a further expansion to vulnerable victims of any age is planned.

4. Lessons learned

- 4.1. The monitoring process enabled project leads to identify and reflect on problems, learn lessons and make necessary changes.
- 4.2. Assigning members of the Community Safety Team to projects proved invaluable; providing project leads with a point of contact for queries, co-ordination of and support throughout the monitoring process.
- 4.3. Only eight of the projects funded under Safer Communities were assigned a CST contact. Without an accountable link in the CST, some projects failed to provide regular monitoring information and were allowed to slide.
- 4.4. Planning and reporting pro-formas should be aligned with those used by the LAA programme management. This will reduce 'form fatigue' and will simplify planning and monitoring.

4.5. The implementation of the evaluation framework places the Partnership in a good position to contribute to corporate performance management requirements during 2008/9.

5. Monitoring and evaluation 2008/9

- 5.1. The area based grant and LAA project information template will be used as a plan pro-forma for all Safer Communities funded projects. This will ensure consistency across all projects and will help to simplify the monitoring process.
- 5.2. <u>All</u> Safer Communities funded projects will be assigned a Partnership contact.
- 5.3. A monitoring and evaluation briefing will be convened for all project leads following funding decisions. This will help to ensure that everyone is clear about the monitoring process and their individual responsibilities.

6. Finance Summary

- 6.1 Attached at Appendix A is the Community Safety Business Unit received various external grants during 2007/08 (Table 1) set out below.
- 6.2 All of the grants received were fully spent and are audited by Corporate Finance and the allocated funding stream.

APPENDIX A

Table 1:

Name of Grant	Grant Income £
ASBAT	
H.O. Respect Task Force	65,000
Safer Stronger Communities Grant	269,815
	334,815
Community Safety Team	
NRF Community Safety Provision	472,000
NRF Partnership Board	250,000
Safer Stronger Communities Fund (Revenue)	92,027
Safer Stronger Communities Fund (Capital)	120,000
GOL Preventing Violent Extremism	80,000
NRF Vehicle Crime Reduction	35,000
	1,049,027
DAAT	
Drug Intervention Programme	1,243,541
Young People & Substance Misuse Grant	510,970
	1,754,511
Youth Offending Service	
YJB General Core Funding	282,893
YJB Resettlement & Aftercare	228,561
YJB North London ISSP (Intensive Supervision & Surveillance Programme)	439,765
YJB Prevention Grant	202,557
NRF Youth Offending Service	292,000
NRF Reparation	42,750
NRF Parenting	42,750
NRF Asylum Worker	40,000
	1,571,276
Total	£4,709,629